## Asymptotics of diagonal elements of projection matrices under many instruments/regressors

Stanislav Anatolyev

CERGE-EI, Czech Republic & New Economic School, Russia Pavel Yaskov

Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS & NUST 'MISIS'

## A Online Appendix: Technical lemmas and proofs

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.** The result follows from Corollary 3.4 in Yaskov (2014).  $\Box$ 

**Lemma A.1** Let  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\min}(Z'_{-1}Z_{-1}) < \delta n) = o(1/n)$ , and let  $\mathbb{P}(||z_l|| > K\sqrt{l}) = o(1/l)$  for some  $\delta, K > 0$ . If (MI) holds, then there exists a constant  $C \in (0, 1)$  such that, as  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(P_{kk} \leq C \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n) \to 1.$$

**Proof of Lemma A.1.** Denoting  $M_n = \max_{1 \le k \le n} ||z_k||^2$  and  $\lambda_n = \min_{1 \le k \le n} \lambda_{\min}(Z'_{-k}Z_{-k})$ we can see that  $\mathbb{P}(M_n > K^2 l) \le \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(||z_k|| > K\sqrt{l}) = n\mathbb{P}(||z_l|| > K\sqrt{l}) = o(1)$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda_n < \delta n) \le \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\min}(Z'_{-k}Z_{-k}) < \delta n) = n\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\min}(Z'_{-1}Z_{-1}) < \delta n) = o(1).$$

In addition, by the Sherman-Morrison formula, we have

$$P_{kk} = f(z'_k (Z'_{-k} Z_{-k})^{-1} z_k) \le f(||z_k||^2 / \lambda_{\min}(Z'_{-k} Z_{-k})) \le f(M_n / \lambda_n) \le f(K^2 l / (\delta n))$$

on  $\{M_n \leq K^2 l, \lambda_n \geq \delta n\}$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , where  $f(x) = x/(1+x), x \geq 0$ . Since l = O(n)and  $\mathbb{P}(M_n \leq K^2 l, \lambda_n \geq \delta n) = 1 - o(1)$ , we get the desired result.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma A.2** For  $l > k \ge 1$ , let  $u_{l-k}$  and  $v_k$  be random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{l-k}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , respectively, such that  $\mathbb{E}u_{l-k}u'_{l-k} = I_{l-k}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}v_kv'_k = I_k$ , and  $(\{v_k\}_{k\ge 1}, d)$  satisfies Property P for some d. If  $z_l = (u'_{l-k}, v'_k)'$  and k = k(l) = l - o(l),  $l \to \infty$ , then  $(\{z_l\}_{l\ge 2}, d)$  satisfies Property P. **Proof of Lemma A.2.** For each l > 1, let  $A_l$  be an  $l \times l$  symmetric positive semi-definite matrix such that  $\lambda_{\max}(A_l)$  is bounded over l. Write  $A_l$  as

$$A_l = \begin{pmatrix} B_m & C_{mk} \\ C'_{mk} & D_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where m = l - k,  $B_m$ ,  $C_{mk}$ , and  $D_k$  are  $m \times m$ ,  $m \times k$ , and  $k \times k$  matrices, respectively. Since  $\lambda_{\max}(A_l)$  is uniformly bounded, m = o(l), and  $(\{v_k\}_{k \ge 1}, d)$  satisfies Property P, we have

$$\frac{z_l'A_l z_l - d\operatorname{tr}(A_l)}{l} = \frac{u_m' B_m u_m + 2u_m' C_{mk} v_k - d\operatorname{tr}(B_m)}{m} \cdot o(1) + o_p(1).$$

Therefore we only need to show that  $d \operatorname{tr}(B_m)/m$ ,  $u'_m B_m u_m/m$ , and  $u'_m C_{mk} v_k/m$  are bounded in probability.

Any random variable d is bounded in probability. In addition,  $\operatorname{tr}(B_m) \leq m\lambda_{\max}(B_m) \leq m\lambda_{\max}(A_l) = O(m)$ . We also have  $\mathbb{E}u'_m B_m u_m = \operatorname{tr}(B_m) = O(m)$ . By the Cauchy inequality,  $2\mathbb{E}|u'_m C_{mk} v_k| \leq \mathbb{E}u'_m u_m + \mathbb{E}(C_{mk} v_k)'(C_{mk} v_k) = m + \operatorname{tr}(C'_{mk} C_{mk}) = m + \operatorname{tr}(C_{mk} C'_{mk}) \leq m + m\lambda_{\max}(A_l A'_l) = m + m\lambda_{\max}(A_l)^2 = O(m)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma A.3** Let  $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}z_l z'_l) \leq \lambda$  and  $\mathbb{E}|a' z_l| \mathbb{I}_{\{d>0\}} \geq c$  for some  $\lambda, c > 0$ , any  $l \geq 1$ , and all  $a \in \mathbb{R}^l$  with a'a = 1. If Property P holds for  $(\{z_l\}_{l\geq 1}, d)$  and  $\alpha < \mathbb{P}(d > 0)$ , then Condition A holds.

**Proof of Lemma A.3.** The result follows from Corollary 3.2 in Yaskov (2016).  $\Box$ 

**Lemma A.4** Let  $z_l$  be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^l$  for any  $l \ge 1$  and  $\{z_{lk}\}_{k=1}^n$  be IID copies of  $z_l$ . If  $\varepsilon_n \sqrt{n} \to \infty$ , then  $S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  for all l = O(n), where

$$S_n = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_{lk} z'_{lk} + \varepsilon_n n I_l\right)^{-1}.$$

**Proof of Lemma A.4.** In what follows, we will write  $z_k$  instead of  $z_{lk}$ . Denote  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot|z_k, \ldots, z_n]$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ , by  $\mathbb{E}_k$ , and  $\mathbb{E}$  by  $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}$ . Then

$$S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbb{E}_k - \mathbb{E}_{k+1})S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbb{E}_k - \mathbb{E}_{k+1})(S_n - S_n^k),$$

where  $S_n^k = \operatorname{tr}(C_k + \varepsilon_n n I_l)^{-1}$  and  $C_k = \sum_{j \neq k} z_j z'_j$ . By (1), we get

$$|S_n - S_n^k| = \left| \frac{z_k'(C_k + \varepsilon_n nI_l)^{-2} z_k}{1 + z_k'(C_k + \varepsilon_n nI_l)^{-1} z_k} \right| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n n} \left| \frac{z_k'(C_k + \varepsilon_n nI_l)^{-1} z_k}{1 + z_k'(C_k + \varepsilon_n nI_l)^{-1} z_k} \right| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n n} \frac{1}$$

Since  $\{(\mathbb{E}_k - \mathbb{E}_{k+1})(S_n - S_n^k)\}_{k=1}^n$  is a martingale difference sequence,

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}|(\mathbb{E}_k - \mathbb{E}_{k+1})(S_n - S_n^k)|^2 \le \frac{n}{(\varepsilon_n n)^2} = o(1).$$

Hence, we obtain the desired result.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma A.5** Let  $l = l(n) \to \infty$ ,  $\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z)/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ , and  $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}z_l z_l) = O(1)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then for any continuous function f on [0, 1],

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}f(P_{kk}) - \mathbb{E}f(P_{11}) \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

**Proof of Lemma A.5.** Any continuous function on [0, 1] could be approximated by a smooth function. Therefore, we may consider only smooth functions for f. In what follows, we will omit the index l and write  $z_i$  instead of  $z_{li}$ . The proof consists of verification of several claims.

Claim 1. There is a sequence  $\lambda_n > 0$  such that  $\lambda_n \to \infty$  and  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [f(P_{ii}) - f_i] \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , where  $f_i = f(z'_i(Z'Z + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1} z_i)$ .

Since  $\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z) \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ , there are  $\lambda_n > 0$  that grow to infinity slower than  $\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z)$  (i.e.  $\lambda_n/\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ ). If Z'Z is non-degenerate, then  $\lambda_{\min}(Z'Z) = \lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z)$  and

$$|z_{i}'(Z'Z)^{-1}z_{i} - z_{i}'(Z'Z + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}z_{i}| = \lambda_{n}z_{i}'(Z'Z)^{-1}(Z'Z + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}z_{i}$$

$$\leq \lambda_{n}z_{i}'(Z'Z)^{-1/2}(Z'Z + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}(Z'Z)^{-1/2}z_{i}$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{\min}(Z'Z + \lambda_{n}I_{l})}z_{i}'(Z'Z)^{-1}z_{i}$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{\min}^{*}(Z'Z)}.$$
(9)

We now show that the last inequalities still hold for degenerate Z'Z. There is an  $l \times l$ orthogonal matrix C and an  $l \times l$  diagonal matrix D such that Z'Z = CDC'. Therefore, setting  $v_i = C'z_i$  and V to be a matrix with rows  $v'_1, \ldots, v'_n$ , we see that  $P_{ii} = v'_i(V'V)^+v_i$ and  $D = V'V = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i v'_i$ . In particular, if  $d_k$ , the  $k^{th}$  diagonal entry of D, is zero, then the  $k^{th}$  entry of each  $v_i$  is zero. Assume without loss of generality that  $d_1 \geq \ldots \geq d_m > d_{m+1} =$  $\ldots = d_l = 0$  for some m < l. Then for all  $i, v_i = (u'_i, 0, \ldots, 0)'$  with l - m zeros for some  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . As a result,  $P_{ii} = u'_i (U'U)^{-1} u_i$  and  $\lambda_{\min}(U'U) = \lambda^*_{\min}(V'V) = \lambda^*_{\min}(Z'Z)$ , where U is a matrix with rows  $u'_1, \ldots, u'_n$ . By (9),

$$\begin{aligned} |z_i'(Z'Z)^+ z_i - z_i'(Z'Z + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1} z_i| &= |v_i'(V'V)^+ v_i - v_i'(V'V + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1} v_i| \\ &= |u_i'(U'U)^{-1} u_i - u_i'(U'U + \lambda_n I_m)^{-1} u_i| \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{\min}(U'U)} = \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the smoothness of f, the latter yields Claim 1.

Claim 2.  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [f_i - \mathbb{E}_{-i}f_i] \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_{-i} = \mathbb{E}[\cdot|z_j, j \neq i]$ .

Since  $|f_i|$  is bounded and  $\{f_i - \mathbb{E}_{-i}f_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are exchangeable random variables,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}[f_{i}-\mathbb{E}_{-i}f_{i}]\right|^{2} = O(n^{-1}) + O(1) \cdot \mathbb{E}[f_{1}-\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{1}][f_{2}-\mathbb{E}_{-2}f_{2}].$$

Hence, we only need to show that  $\mathbb{E}[f_1 - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1][f_2 - \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_2] = o(1).$ 

By (2),

$$z'_{i}(Z'Z + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}z_{i} = g(z_{i}(Z'_{-i}Z_{-i} + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}z_{i})$$

with g(x) = x/(1+x),  $x \ge 0$ , and  $Z_{-i}$  is obtained from Z by deleting its  $i^{th}$  row. In addition, the function h(x) = f(g(x)) is second-order smooth on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and there is  $C_0 > 0$ such that  $|h^{(k)}(x)|^2 \le C_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  for each k = 0, 1. Put  $f_{ij} = h(z'_i(Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1}z_i)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{-ij} = \mathbb{E}[\cdot|Z_{-ij}], i \ne j$ , for  $Z_{-ij}$  (=  $Z_{-ji}$ ) that is obtained by deleting  $i^{th}$  and  $j^{th}$  rows in Z. Since

$$\mathbb{E}[f_{12} - \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{12}][f_{21} - \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{21}] = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}_{-12}[f_{12} - \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{12}][f_{21} - \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{21}]\right) = 0$$

and  $\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{12} = \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{12} = \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{21} = \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_{21}$ , it follows from Claim 2 and Claim 3 below that  $\mathbb{E}[f_1 - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1][f_2 - \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_2] = o(1)$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{split} |\mathbb{E}[f_1 - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1][f_2 - \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_2]| &= |\mathbb{E}[(f_1 - f_{12}) + (f_{12} - \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{12}) + (\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{12} - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1)][f_2 - \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_2]| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}[f_{12} - \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{12}][(f_2 - f_{21}) + (f_{21} - \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{21}) + (\mathbb{E}_{-2}f_{21} - \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_2)]| \\ &\quad + 2C_0[\mathbb{E}|f_1 - f_{12}| + \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{12} - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1|] \\ &\leq 2C_0[\mathbb{E}|f_1 - f_{12}| + \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{12} - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1| + \mathbb{E}|f_2 - f_{21}| + \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{-2}f_{21} - \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_2|] = o(1). \end{split}$$

Claim 3.  $\mathbb{E}|f_i - f_{ij}| \to 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{-i}f_i - \mathbb{E}_{-i}f_{ij}| \to 0$  for any fixed  $i, j, i \neq j$ .

Formula (1) yields

$$\Delta_{ij} = z'_i [(Z'_{-i}Z_{-i} + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1} - (Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1}] z_i = \frac{|z'_i (Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1} z_j|^2}{1 + z'_j (Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_n I_l)^{-1} z_j} \ge 0$$

If  $\Delta_{ij} \leq 1$ , then, by the mean value theorem,  $|f_i - f_{ij}| \leq C_0 \Delta_{ij}$ . If  $\Delta_{ij} > 1$ , then  $|f_i - f_{ij}| \leq 2C_0$ . By conditional Jensen's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{-i}(f_i - f_{ij})| \le \mathbb{E}|f_i - f_{ij}| \le 2C_0 \mathbb{E}\min\{\Delta_{ij}, 1\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\min\{\Delta_{ij},1\} = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}_{-i}\min\{\Delta_{ij},1\} \le \mathbb{E}\min\{\mathbb{E}_{-i}\Delta_{ij},1\}.$$

It follows from the equality  $\mathbb{E}_{-i}z_iz_i' = \mathbb{E}zz'$  that

$$\mathbb{E}_{-i}\Delta_{ij} = \mathbb{E}_{-i} \frac{z'_{j}(Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}z_{i}z'_{i}(Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}z_{j}}{1 + z'_{j}(Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-2}z_{j}} \leq \lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}zz') \frac{z'_{j}(Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-2}z_{j}}{1 + z'_{j}(Z'_{-ij}Z_{-ij} + \lambda_{n}I_{l})^{-1}z_{j}} \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}zz')}{\lambda_{n}} = o(1).$$

Hence, Claim 3 obtains.

Claim 4.  $\mathbb{E}|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}_{-i}f_i - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1| \to 0.$ 

Using that  $\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{12} = \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{12} = \mathbb{E}_{-12}f_{21} = \mathbb{E}_{-2}f_{21}$ , Claim 3, and the exchangeability of  $\{\mathbb{E}_{-i}f_i - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1\}_{i=2}^n$ , we derive that

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{-i} f_{i} - \mathbb{E}_{-1} f_{1} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}_{-i} f_{i} - \mathbb{E}_{-1} f_{1}| \leq \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}_{-1} f_{1} - \mathbb{E}_{-2} f_{2}| \\
= \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}_{-1} f_{1} - \mathbb{E}_{-1} f_{12} + \mathbb{E}_{-2} f_{21} - \mathbb{E}_{-2} f_{2}| \\
\leq \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}_{-1} f_{1} - \mathbb{E}_{-1} f_{12}| + \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}_{-2} f_{21} - \mathbb{E}_{-2} f_{2}| = o(1).$$

Thus, Claim 4 is proven.

Claim 5. If  $\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z) \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ , then  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(P_{ii}) - \mathbb{E}_{-1}f(P_{11}) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

This follows from Claims 1–4.

Claim 6.  $\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1 - \mathbb{E}f_1|^2 \to 0.$ 

To prove Claim 6 we need the assumption  $\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z)/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ . Going back to the definition of  $\lambda_n$  in Claim 1, we can initially take  $\lambda_n$  growing faster than  $\sqrt{n}$  and slower than  $\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z)$  (i.e.  $\lambda_n/\lambda_{\min}^*(Z'Z) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ ). Let  $\mathbb{E}_i = \mathbb{E}[\cdot|z_2, \ldots, z_i]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_1 = \mathbb{E}$ . Using that  $\mathbb{E}_i(\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{1i}) = \mathbb{E}_{i-1}(\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_{1i})$ , we represent  $\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1 - \mathbb{E}f_1$  as the sum of martingale differences

$$\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1 - \mathbb{E}f_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n (\mathbb{E}_i - \mathbb{E}_{i-1})\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n (\mathbb{E}_i - \mathbb{E}_{i-1})\mathbb{E}_{-1}(f_1 - f_{1i}),$$

where, by (1) and the inequalities given in the proof of Claim 3,

 $|\mathbb{E}_{-1}(f_1 - f_{1i})| \le \mathbb{E}_{-1}|f_1 - f_{1i}| \le 2C_0 \mathbb{E}_{-1} \min\{\Delta_{1i}, 1\} \le 2C_0 \min\{\mathbb{E}_{-1}\Delta_{1i}, 1\} \le \frac{2C_0}{\lambda_n} \lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}zz').$ 

Claim 6 now follows from

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{-1}f_1 - \mathbb{E}f_1|^2 = \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{E}|(\mathbb{E}_i - \mathbb{E}_{i-1})\mathbb{E}_{-1}(f_1 - f_{1i})|^2 \le \frac{4C_0^2\lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}zz')^2n}{\lambda_n^2} = o(1).$$

We finish the proof of the lemma by noting that  $\mathbb{E}f_1 - \mathbb{E}f(P_{11}) = o(1)$  (see the proof of Claim 1).  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** For the sake of simplicity, we further omit index l when writing  $z_{lk}$ . Fix k. By Property P, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$z'_k(Z'_{-k}Z_{-k} + \varepsilon nI_l)^{-1}z_k - d_k \operatorname{tr}(Z'_{-k}Z_{-k} + \varepsilon nI_l)^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

because of the independence of  $z_k$  and  $Z_{-k}$ , where  $Z_{-k}$  is obtained by removing  $k^{th}$  row in Z. Hence, there exist  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tending to zero arbitrarily slowly, such that

$$z'_k (Z'_{-k} Z_{-k} + \varepsilon_n n I_l)^{-1} z_k - d_k S_{nk} \xrightarrow{p} 0,$$

where  $S_{nk} = \operatorname{tr}(Z'_{-k}Z_{-k} + \varepsilon_n n I_l)^{-1}$ . In particular, we can take  $\varepsilon_n \sqrt{n} \to \infty$ . Lemma A.4 now yields  $z'_k (Z'_{-k}Z_{-k} + \varepsilon_n n I_l)^{-1} z_k - d_k \mathbb{E} S_{nk} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

By Condition A,  $\varepsilon_n n / \lambda_{\min}(Z'Z) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ . Arguing as in Claim 1 in the proof of Lemma A.5, we derive that

$$|P_{kk} - z'_k (Z'Z + \varepsilon_n n I_l)^{-1} z_k| \le \min\{\varepsilon_n n / \lambda_{\min}(Z'Z), 1\} = o_p(1).$$

By (2) and the above arguments,

$$z'_{k}(Z'Z + \varepsilon_{n}nI_{l})^{-1}z_{k} = g(z'_{k}(Z'_{-k}Z_{-k} + \varepsilon_{n}nI_{l})^{-1}z_{k}) = g(d_{k}\mathbb{E}S_{nk}) + e_{n},$$

where g(x) = x/(x+1),  $e_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , and  $|e_n| \le 2$  a.s. Since  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\min}(Z'Z) > 0) \to 1$  and  $P_{kk}$  are identically distributed over k, we have

$$\mathbb{E}P_{kk} = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\sum_{j=1}^{n}P_{jj} = \frac{l}{n} + o(1) \to \alpha.$$

As a result,  $\mathbb{E}g(d_k\mathbb{E}S_{nk}) = \mathbb{E}g(d\mathbb{E}S_{nk}) \to \alpha$ . Note that  $f(s) = \mathbb{E}g(sd)$  is a strictly increasing continuous function with f(0) = 0 and  $f(s) \to \mathbb{P}(d > 0), s \to \infty$ , whenever  $\mathbb{P}(d > 0) > 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}S_{nk} \to c$  for c > 0 solving  $f(c) = \alpha$ . Such c exists when  $\alpha \in (0, \mathbb{P}(d > 0))$ . Combining the above estimates, we infer that  $P_{kk} \xrightarrow{p} g(cd_k) = cd_k/(1 + cd_k)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma A.6** Under the conditions of Lemma 3.4(a) or (b), there is C > 0 such that, for any  $l \times l$  positive semi-definite symmetric matrix  $A_l$  and b > 1,

$$\mathbb{E}|x_l'A_lx_l - \operatorname{tr}(A_l)| \le Cb\sqrt{l}\lambda_{\max}(A_l) + Cl\lambda_{\max}(A_l)\max_{k\ge 1}\mathbb{E}e_k^2\mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}}.$$
(10)

**Proof of Lemma A.6.** First, assume that  $\xi_l = e_l, l \ge 1$ . Write  $A_l = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^l$ . Then

$$x_l'A_lx_l - \operatorname{tr}(A_l) = \sum_{k=1}^l a_{kk}(e_k^2 - 1) + 2\sum_{1 \le j < k \le l} a_{jk}e_je_k = \sum_{k=1}^l a_{kk}(e_k^2 - 1) + 2\sum_{k=2}^l E_k,$$

where

$$E_k = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{jk} e_j\right) e_k,$$

 $2 \leq k \leq l$ . Note that  $\{E_k\}_{k=2}^l$  and  $\{a_{kk}(e_k^2-1)\}_{k=1}^l$  are martingale difference sequences. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{l} E_{k}\right|\right)^{2} \leq \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{l} E_{k}\right|^{2} = \sum_{k=2}^{l} \mathbb{E}E_{k}^{2} = \sum_{k=2}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{jk}^{2} \leq \operatorname{tr}(A_{l}^{2}).$$

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{kk}(e_k^2 - 1)\right| \le C \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{kk}^2(e_k^2 - 1)^2\right|^{1/2},$$

where C > 0 is an absolute constant. Since  $\sqrt{x+y} \le \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$  for  $x, y \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{kk}^{2} (e_{k}^{2} - 1)^{2}\right|^{1/2} \leq I_{1} + I_{2},$$

where

$$I_{1} = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{kk}^{2} (e_{k}^{2} - 1)^{2} \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_{k}^{2} - 1| \leq b^{2}\}} \right|^{1/2},$$
  
$$I_{2} = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{kk}^{2} (e_{k}^{2} - 1)^{2} \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_{k}^{2} - 1| > b^{2}\}} \right|^{1/2}.$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$I_1 \le \left| \sum_{k=1}^l a_{kk}^2 \mathbb{E}(e_k^2 - 1)^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| \le b^2\}} \right|^{1/2} \le \sqrt{2b^2 \operatorname{tr}(A_l^2)}.$$

Here we also used  $\mathbb{E}(e_k^2-1)^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{(|e_k^2-1| \le b^2\}} \le b^2 \mathbb{E}|e_k^2-1| \le 2b^2$ . In addition,

$$I_2 \le \sum_{k=1}^{l} |a_{kk}| \mathbb{E}e_k^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}} = \operatorname{tr}(A_l) \max_{k \ge 1} \mathbb{E}e_k^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}},$$

where we also have used that  $\sqrt{x+y} \leq \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$  for  $x, y \geq 0$  and  $|e_k^2 - 1| \leq e_k^2$  when b > 1and  $|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2$ . The above estimates yield

$$\mathbb{E}|x_l'A_lx_l - \operatorname{tr}(A_l)| \le Cb\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(A_l^2)} + C\operatorname{tr}(A_l)\max_{k\ge 1}\mathbb{E}e_k^2\mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}},\tag{11}$$

where  $x_l = (e_1, \ldots, e_l)'$  and C > 0 is an absolute constant.

Consider the case with  $x_l = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_l)'$ . By the definition of  $\xi_j$ , there are  $l \times k$  matrices  $\Gamma_{lk}$  such that  $\Gamma_{lk}v_k \to x_l$  in probability and in mean square as  $k \to \infty$  for  $v_k = (e_1, \ldots, e_k)'$ . Since  $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  is an orthonormal sequence, we have

(1)  $\Gamma_{lk}\Gamma'_{lk} = \mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{lk}v_k)(\Gamma_{lk}v_k)' \to \mathbb{E}x_lx'_l = I_l,$ (2)  $v'_k(\Gamma'_{lk}A_l\Gamma_{lk})v_k = (\Gamma_{lk}v_k)'A_l(\Gamma_{lk}v_k) \xrightarrow{p} x'_lA_lx_l,$ (3)  $\operatorname{tr}(\Gamma'_{lk}A_l\Gamma_{lk}) = \operatorname{tr}(\Gamma_{lk}\Gamma'_{lk}A_l) \to \operatorname{tr}(A_l),$ 

(4) 
$$\operatorname{tr}((\Gamma_{lk}^{\prime}A_{l}\Gamma_{lk})^{2}) = \operatorname{tr}(\Gamma_{lk}\Gamma_{lk}^{\prime}A_{l}\Gamma_{lk}\Gamma_{lk}^{\prime}A_{l}) \to \operatorname{tr}(A_{l}^{2})$$

We need a version of the Fatou lemma that states that  $\mathbb{E}|\zeta| \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E}|\zeta_k|$  if  $\zeta_k \xrightarrow{p} \zeta$ . Put  $B_k = \Gamma'_{lk} A_l \Gamma_{lk}$ . By the Fatou lemma and (11),

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}|x_l'A_lx_l - \operatorname{tr}(A_l)| &\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E}|v_k'B_kv_k - \operatorname{tr}(B_k)| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} [Cb\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(B_k^2)} + C\operatorname{tr}(B_k)\max_{j \geq 1} \mathbb{E}e_j^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_j^2 - 1| > b^2\}}] \\ &\leq Cb\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(A_l^2)} + C\operatorname{tr}(A_l)\max_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{E}e_k^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}} \\ &\leq Cb\lambda_{\max}(A_l)\sqrt{l} + Cl\lambda_{\max}(A_l)\max_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{E}e_k^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, we get the desired inequality.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Lemma 3.4.** If  $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  are IID and  $x_l$  is given in (a), then

$$\max_{k \ge 1} \mathbb{E}e_k^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}} = \mathbb{E}e_1^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_1^2 - 1| > b^2\}}$$

and the desired result follows from Lemma A.6. Indeed, dividing both sides of (10) by l, letting  $l \to \infty$  and then  $b \to \infty$ , we infer that  $(\{x_l\}_{l \ge 1}, 1)$  satisfies Property P. Multiplying by d, we conclude that  $(\{dx_l\}_{l \ge 1}, d^2)$  satisfies Property P.

If  $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  are independent with  $\mathbb{E}|e_k|^{2+\delta} \leq C$  and  $x_l$  is as in (b), then, for b > 1,

$$\max_{k\geq 1} \mathbb{E} e_k^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|e_k^2 - 1| > b^2\}} \leq \max_{k\geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{E} e_k^{2+\delta}}{(b^2 + 1)^{\delta/2}} \leq \frac{C}{(b^2 + 1)^{\delta/2}}.$$

The rest of the proof follows the same argument as above.

Consider (c), where  $x_l$  is a centered random vector with a log-concave density and  $\mathbb{E}x_l x'_l = I_l$ . By Lemma 2.5 in Pajor and Pastur (2009),  $\operatorname{var}(x'_l A_l x_l/l) \leq \delta_l$  for some  $\delta_l = o(1)$  and all  $l \times l$  symmetric positive semi-definite matrices  $A_l$  with  $\lambda_{\max}(A_l) \leq 1$ . Obviously, this implies that  $(\{x_l\}_{l\geq 1}, 1)$  satisfies Property P. Multiplying by d, we get the desired result.

Suppose  $x_l = F_l(v_m)$ , where  $F_l$  and  $v_m$  are as in (d). Then,  $f = \varphi \circ F_l$  is a c-Lipschitz function for any 1-Lipschitz function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$|\varphi(F_l(u)) - \varphi(F_l(v))| \le ||F_l(u) - F_l(v)|| \le c||u - v||.$$

Since  $\lambda_{\max}(\operatorname{var}(v_m)) \leq C$  for all m, the density of  $v_m$  has the form  $\exp\{-U(v)\}$  for a convex function U = U(v) such that  $\partial^2 U(v) - (1/C)I_m = \operatorname{var}(v_m)^{-1} - (1/C)I_m$  is positive semidefinite for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Hence, by Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 1.3 in Ledoux (2001) (see also examples in Section 3.2 in El Karoui, 2009), there is  $C_1 = C_1(C,c) > 0$  such that, for any 1-Lipschitz function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $f = \varphi \circ F_l$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\varphi(x_l) - \text{med}(\varphi(x_l))| > t) = \mathbb{P}(|f(v_m) - \text{med}(f(v_m))| > t) \le 2\exp\{-C_1 t^2\}, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $med(\xi)$  is a median of a random variable  $\xi$ .<sup>8</sup> Now, by Lemma 7 in El Karoui (2009),  $(\{x_l\}_{l\geq 1}, 1)$  satisfies Property P. Multiplying by d, we finish the proof.  $\Box$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>med( $\xi$ ) is any such point  $\mu$  that  $\mathbb{P}(\xi < \mu) \le 1/2 \le \mathbb{P}(\xi \le \mu)$ .

**Lemma A.7** Let  $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  be independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}e_k = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}e_k^2 = 1$ . If  $\mathbb{E}|e_k| \geq c$  for some c > 0 and all  $k \geq 1$ , then, for any  $\{a_k\}_{k\geq 0}$  with  $\sum_{k\geq 0} a_k^2 = 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|a_0 + \sum_{k \ge 1} a_k e_k\right| \ge \frac{c}{\sqrt{32 + c^2}}.$$

**Proof of Lemma A.7.** Note that  $\mathbb{E} |a_0 + \sum_{k\geq 1} a_k e_k|^2 = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k^2 = 1$ . We may assume without loss of generality that there is a finite set of non-zero  $a_k$  (otherwise, we can take a limit). By Jensen's inequality,

$$|a_0| = \mathbb{E} \left| a_0 + \mathbb{E} \sum_{k \ge 1} a_k e_k \right| \le \mathbb{E} \left| a_0 + \sum_{k \ge 1} a_k e_k \right| = I.$$

In addition,

$$\sqrt{1-a_0^2} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k \ge 1} \tilde{a}_k e_k \right| - |a_0| \le I,$$

where  $\tilde{a}_k = a_k / \sqrt{1 - a_0^2}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , and  $\sum_{k\ge 1} \tilde{a}_k^2 = 1$ . If we prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1} \tilde{a}_k e_k\right| \geq \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}},\tag{12}$$

then we obtain the desired bound:

$$I \ge \inf_{b \in [0,1]} \max\left\{\frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1-b^2} - b, b\right\} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{32+c^2}}.$$

Let us prove (12). Write  $a_k$  instead of  $\tilde{a}_k$  and let  $\{\tilde{e}_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  be an independent copy of  $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1}a_k(e_k-\tilde{e}_k)\right|\leq \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1}a_ke_k\right|+\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1}a_k\tilde{e}_k\right|=2\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1}a_ke_k\right|.$$

In addition, by Jensen's inequality,  $\mathbb{E}|e_k - \tilde{e}_k| \ge \mathbb{E}|e_k - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{e}_k|e_k]| = \mathbb{E}|e_k|$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . Since  $\{e_k - \tilde{e}_k\}_{k\ge 1}$  are independent symmetric random variables, then  $\{e_k - \tilde{e}_k\}_{k\ge 1} = \{d_k|e_k - \tilde{e}_k|\}_{k\ge 1}$  in distribution, where  $\{d_k\}_{k\ge 1}$  are IID random variables that have  $\mathbb{P}(d_k = \pm 1) = 1/2$  and are independent of  $\{|e_k - \tilde{e}_k|\}_{k\ge 1}$ . By Jensen's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1}a_kd_k\mathbb{E}|e_k-\tilde{e}_k|\right|\leq \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1}a_kd_k|e_k-\tilde{e}_k|\right|=\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k\geq 1}a_k(e_k-\tilde{e}_k)\right|.$$

By Khinchin's inequality with explicit constants (see Theorem 1 in Szarek, 1975),

$$\frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k^2 (\mathbb{E}|e_k|)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k^2 (\mathbb{E}|e_k - \tilde{e}_k|)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k d_k \mathbb{E}|e_k - \tilde{e}_k| \right|.$$

10

## Additional references

EL KAROUI, N (2009): "Concentration of measure and spectra of random matrices: applications to correlation matrices, elliptical distributions and beyond," *Annals of Applied Probability*, 19, 2362–2405.

PAJOR, A. AND L. PASTUR (2009): "On the limiting empirical measure of eigenvalues of the sum of rank one matrices with log-concave distribution," *Studia Mathematica*, 195, 11–29. SZAREK, V. (1975): "On the best constants in the Khinchin inequality," *Studia Mathematica*, 58, 197–208.